

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/756,617	ROSENBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PAUL A BELL	2675	

All Participants:

(1) PAUL A BELL.

(2) ERIK B. MILCH # 42,887.

Status of Application: nonfinal

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 June 2004

Time: 2.00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible rejection of claim 175 based on broad reading of "snapover force"

Claims discussed:

175

Prior art documents discussed:

Magic mouse

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr Milch decided to just cancel claim 175 without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein so as to put the case into a state of allowance by removing all remaining issues.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)